THE STATE OF THE S

NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY

Session 2021

Legislative Incarceration Fiscal Note

Short Title: Elder Abuse & Neglect/Increase Punishment.

Bill Number: House Bill 699 (First Edition)

Sponsor(s): Representatives Pickett, Everitt, Setzer, and Cooper-Suggs

SUMMARY TABLES

		CHAR	GES		
Agency	Charge	Increased Cost		Cost of Staff	Equivalence
		per Ch	arge		
	Class F → Class E Felony	\$1,173			46 increased charges = 1 new Deputy Clerk
Administrative Office of the	Class G → Class F Felony	\$266		Deputy Clerk:	205 increased charges = 1 new Deputy Clerk
Courts	Class H → Class G Felony	\$417 \$226		\$54,532	130 increased charges = 1
Courts					new Deputy Clerk
	Class I → Class H Felony				241 increased charges = 1
					new Deputy Clerk
Agency	Charge		Perd	cent of Cases	Increased Cost to PAC
			Hai	ndled by IDS	Fund
	Class F → Class E Felony			79%	\$41
Office of Indigent	Class G → Class F Felony			74%	\$194
Defense Services	Class H → Class G Felony	•		78%	\$157
	Class I → Class H Felony			78%	\$79

CONVICTIONS – ACTIVE SENTENCE

	Charge	Avg. Active	Increased Cost per Conviction	Cost of Staff	Equivalence
DPS – Prisons	Class F → Class E Felony	60%	Custody: \$5,160		10 increased convictions = 1 new CO
	Class G → Class F Felony	53%	Custody: \$2,580	Correction Officer I:	19 increased convictions = 1 new CO
	Class H → Class G Felony	40%	Custody: \$2,580	\$49,173	19 increased convictions = 1 new CO
	Class I → Class H Felony	34%	Custody: \$2,580		19 increased convictions = 1 new CO
DPS – Community Corrections	Class F → Class E Felony	60%	Post-Release Supervision: \$510	Probation Parole	105 inc. convictions = 1 new PPO
	Class G → Class F Felony	53%	No increased cost	Officer	No increased cost
	Class H → Class G Felony	40%	No increased cost	(PPO):	No increased cost
	Class I → Class H Felony	34%	No increased cost	\$53,760	No increased cost

CONVICTIONS – SUSPENDED SENTENCE

	Charge	Avg. Probation	Increased Cost per Conviction	Cost of Staff	Equivalence
DPS – Community Corrections	Class F → Class E Felony	40%	Supervision: \$170		316 inc. convictions = 1 new PPO
	Class G → Class F Felony	47%	Supervision: \$680	PPO:	79 inc. convictions = 1 new PPO
	Class H → Class G Felony	60%	Supervision: \$170	\$53,760	316 inc. convictions = 1 new PPO
	Class I → Class H Felony	66%	Supervision: \$680		79 inc. convictions = 1 new PPO

^{*} All costs annualized

May 6, 2021

FISCAL IMPACT SUMMARY

There are three types of changes to offenses: new offenses, change to offense class, or change to the scope of the offense. Section 1 of the proposed bill modifies four offenses by increasing a Class F to a Class E felony offense, increasing a Class G to a Class F felony offense, increasing a Class H to a Class G felony offense, and increasing a Class I to a Class H felony offense. The criminal offense modifications in the proposed bill may have a fiscal impact on the judicial and correction systems. However, due to a lack of sufficient historical data on this offense or similar offenses, the Fiscal Research Division (FRD) is unable to provide a reasonable estimate of the total fiscal impact. Each additional person charged with this crime will have a cost to the judicial system and each additional person convicted will have a cost to the correction system. All costs in the tables above have been annualized. Offense changes are typically effective on December 1. FRD assumes that costs incurred in the first year to the judicial and correction systems would be less than annualized costs due to lag time in charges and convictions.

FISCAL IMPACT OF H.B.699, V.1

	FY 2021-22	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	
State Impact						
General Fund Revenue	-	-	-	-	-	
Less Expenditures		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
General Fund Impact	No Esti	imate Availabl	e - Refer to Fis	cal Analysis sec	ction	
NET STATE IMPACT	No Estimate Available - Refer to Fiscal Analysis section					

FISCAL ANALYSIS

General

The Sentencing and Policy Advisory Commission (SPAC) prepares prison population projections for each bill containing a criminal penalty. SPAC assumes that expanding existing or creating new

criminal offenses produces no deterrent or incapacitative effect on crime rates. Likewise, FRD assumes no deterrent effects for any modifications to criminal penalties. The estimates in this Incarceration Note make no assumptions about the larger impact on crime rates or costs to society or the State.

There are three types of changes to offenses: new offenses, change to offense class, or change to the scope of the offense which may lead to an increase or decrease in the number of offenders charged. FRD assumes that new offenses and changes to an offense's scope will have no historical data upon which to estimate the number of charges or convictions that might occur. FRD assumes that a change in offense class may have an unknown effect on charge or conviction numbers. To that end, FRD estimates the average cost to the judicial and correction systems for one additional charge or conviction.

Judicial Branch

The Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) provides FRD with a fiscal impact analysis for most criminal penalty bills. Fiscal impact is typically based on the assumption that court time will increase due to anticipated increases in charges and corresponding increases in workload for judges, clerks, and prosecutors. This increased court time is also expected to result in greater expenditures for jury fees and indigent defense.

Section 1 of the proposed bill modifies four offenses by increasing a Class F to a Class E felony offense, increasing a Class G to a Class F felony offense, increasing a Class H to a Class G felony offense, and increasing a Class I to a Class H felony offense. Based on the AOC estimate of the average cost to the court for a charge by offense class, the average cost to the court for every additional person charged with a Class E felony would be \$2,689. Based on the AOC estimate of the average cost to the court for a charge by offense class, the average cost to the court for every additional person charged with a Class F felony would be \$1,516. Therefore, the average cost to the court for every additional person charged with a felony increased from Class F to Class E would be \$1,173. Based on the AOC estimate of the average cost to the court for a charge by offense class, the average cost to the court for every additional person charged with a Class G felony would be \$1,250. Therefore, the average cost to the court for every additional person charged with a felony increased from Class G to Class F would be \$266. Based on the AOC estimate of the average cost to the court for a charge by offense class, the average cost to the court for every additional person charged with a Class H felony would be \$833. Therefore, the average cost to the court for every additional person charged with a felony increased from Class H to Class G would be \$417. Based on the AOC estimate of the average cost to the court for a charge by offense class, the average cost to the court for every additional person charged with a Class I felony would be \$607. Therefore, the average cost to the court for every additional person charged with a felony increased from Class I to Class H would be \$226.

The Office of Indigent Defense Services (IDS) provides Fiscal Research with the frequency and cost of indigent defense services for each level of crime, including the cost differentials for district and superior court with and without a trial and the percentage of cases handled in each category. Fiscal Research uses this data to calculate a weighted average of IDS costs for each class of offense. This estimate assumes the appointment of a Private Assigned Counsel (PAC) attorney. In districts that

have Public Defender (PD) offices, cases may be handled by those offices. In those instances, this cost may not be incurred, but the PD office may experience costs in terms of greater workload.

In an average based on three years of fiscal data from FY 2016-17 to FY 2018-19, IDS handled 79% of Class E felony cases. The weighted average cost of a new Class E felony is \$867 per case for a PAC attorney. In an average based on three years of fiscal data from FY 2016-17 to FY 2018-19, IDS handled 74% of Class F felony cases. The weighted average cost of a new Class F felony is \$826 per case for a PAC attorney. Therefore, the weighted average cost of a charge for an increased Class F to a Class E felony is \$41. In an average based on three years of fiscal data from FY 2016-17 to FY 2018-19, IDS handled 78% of Class G felony cases. The weighted average cost of a new Class G felony is \$632 per case for a PAC attorney. Therefore, the weighted average cost of a charge for an increased Class G to a Class F felony is \$194. In an average based on three years of fiscal data from FY 2016-17 to FY 2018-19, IDS handled 78% of Class H felony cases. The weighted average cost of a new Class H felony is \$475 per case for a PAC attorney. Therefore, the weighted average cost of a charge for an increased Class H to a Class G felony is \$157. In an average based on three years of fiscal data from FY 2016-17 to FY 2018-19, IDS handled 68% of Class I felony cases. The weighted average cost of a new Class I felony is \$396 per case for a PAC attorney. Therefore, the weighted average cost of a new Class I felony is \$396 per case for a PAC attorney. Therefore, the weighted average cost of a charge for an increased Class I to a Class H felony is \$79.

Department of Public Safety - Prisons

Section 1 of the proposed bill modifies four offenses by increasing a Class F to a Class E felony offense, increasing a Class G to a Class F felony offense, increasing a Class H to a Class G felony offense, and increasing a Class I to a Class H felony offense. Based on the most recent population projections and estimated bed capacity, the Prisons division will have surplus prison beds available for the five-year fiscal note horizon and beyond. Therefore, no additional prison beds will be required from the changes in this bill. SPAC provides a threshold analysis when it is not known how many offenders might be convicted and sentenced as a result of the proposed change. For each offense class, the threshold estimate is the number of convictions that result in the need for one prison bed in the first year.

The five-year estimates in each section below take into account the combination of active sentences and probation and post-release supervision violations resulting in confinement, as well as growth rates adopted by the Sentencing Commission's Forecasting Technical Advisory Group. In addition to the capital costs that may be associated with additional bed needs, there are also per diem costs for housing inmates. The cost to add one additional inmate to the prison system based on FY 2019-20 expenditures is \$21.22 per day, or \$645 per month, which includes the cost of food, clothing, and health care.

<u>Increased Class F to Class E Felony</u>

In FY 2019-20, 60% of Class E felony convictions resulted in active sentences, with an average estimated time served of 26 months. The following table shows the estimated annual impact if there were 2 convictions (the threshold) or 20 convictions for this proposed offense per year.

Estimated Prison Bed Impact Using Threshold Convictions and 20 Convictions Increased Class F to Class E Felony						
Convictions Year 1 Year 2 Year 3 Year 4 Year 5						
2 (Threshold)	0	1	1	1	1	
20	1	9	13	13	13	

In FY 2019-20, 60% of Class E felony offenders received active sentences averaging 26 months. In FY 2019-20, 53% of Class F felony offenders received active sentences averaging 18 months. Therefore, the increased cost to the prison section for an offender receiving an active sentence for an offense elevated from a Class F to a Class E felony will be \$5,160 (\$645 monthly cost times 8 months increased average time).

Increased Class G to Class F Felony

In FY 2019-20, 53% of Class F felony convictions resulted in active sentences, with an average estimated time served of 18 months. The following table shows the estimated annual impact if there were 3 convictions (the threshold) or 20 convictions for this proposed offense per year.

Estimated Prison Bed Impact Using Threshold Convictions and 20 Convictions Increased Class G to Class F Felony						
Convictions Year 1 Year 2 Year 3 Year 4 Year 5						
3 (Threshold)	1	2	2	2	2	
20	3	4	6	6	6	

In FY 2019-20, 53% of Class F felony offenders received active sentences averaging 18 months. In FY 2019-20, 40% of Class G felony offenders received active sentences averaging 14 months. Therefore, the increased cost to the prison section for an offender receiving an active sentence for an offense elevated from a Class G to a Class F felony will be \$2,580 (\$645 monthly cost times 4 months increased average time).

Increased Class H to Class G Felony

In FY 2019-20, 40% of Class G felony convictions resulted in active sentences, with an average estimated time served of 14 months. The following table shows the estimated annual impact if there were 4 convictions (the threshold) or 20 convictions for this proposed offense per year.

Estimated Prison Bed Impact Using Threshold Convictions and 20 Convictions Increased Class H to Class G Felony						
Convictions	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	
4 (Threshold)	1	2	2	2	2	
20	2	4	4	4	4	

In FY 2019-20, 40% of Class G felony offenders received active sentences averaging 14 months. In FY 2019-20, 34% of Class H felony offenders received active sentences averaging 10 months. Therefore, the increased cost to the prison section for an offender receiving an active sentence for

an offense elevated from a Class H to a Class G felony will be \$2,580 (\$645 monthly cost times 4 months increased average time).

Increased Class I to Class H Felony

In FY 2019-20, 34% of Class H felony convictions resulted in active sentences, with an average estimated time served of 10 months. The following table shows the estimated annual impact if there were 10 convictions (the threshold) or 20 convictions for this proposed offense per year.

Estimated Prison Bed Impact Using Threshold Convictions and 20 Convictions Increased Class I to Class H Felony						
Convictions	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	
10 (Threshold)	2	2	2	2	2	
20	4	6	6	6	6	

In FY 2019-20, 34% of Class H felony offenders received active sentences averaging 10 months. In FY 2019-20, 15% of Class I felony offenders received active sentences averaging 6 months. Therefore, the increased cost to the prison section for an offender receiving an active sentence for an offense elevated from a Class I to a Class H felony will be \$2,580 (\$645 monthly cost times 4 months increased average time).

Department of Public Safety - Community Corrections

All felony offenders may be given exclusively active or suspended sentences or suspended in conjunction with imprisonment (split-sentence). Under S.L. 2011-192, the Justice Reinvestment Act (JRA), both community and intermediate probation may include sanctions such as electronic monitoring, short-term periods of confinement, community service, substance abuse assessment, monitoring, and treatment, or participation in educational programs or vocational skills development. Whether a probationer is subject to more stringent conditions is determined by the results of a risk-needs assessment administered by the Department of Public Safety.

Active Sentence

All active sentences for felony offenses result in a minimum of 12 months of post-release supervision (PRS) for B-E level offenses. All active sentences for felony offenses result in a minimum of nine months of post-release supervision (PRS) for F-I level offenses. All types of PRS are supervised by the Community Corrections Section (CCS). Based on FY 2019-20 expenditures, supervision by a probation officer costs \$170 per offender per month; no cost is assumed for those receiving unsupervised probation or who are only ordered to pay fines, fees, or restitution. Total costs are based on average supervision length and the percentage of offenders (per offense class) sentenced to active sentences requiring post-release supervision and supervised probation. For every additional felony offender receiving an active sentence for an offense increased from a Class F to a Class E, the cost to CCS is for 3 more months of PRS, which is \$510 per offender (\$170 per month times 3 months).

Suspended Sentence

CCS also oversees probation. In FY 2019-20, 40% of Class E felony offenders received suspended sentences. The average length of probation imposed for this offense class was 32 months. In FY

2019-20, 47% of Class F felony offenders received suspended sentences. The average length of probation imposed for this offense class was 31 months. For every additional offender receiving a non-active sentence increased from a Class F to a Class E, the average cost to CCS would be \$170 (\$170 per month times 1 month). In FY 2019-20, 60% of Class G felony offenders received suspended sentences. The average length of probation imposed for this offense class was 27 months. For every additional offender receiving a non-active sentence increased from a Class G to a Class F, the average cost to CCS would be \$680 (\$170 per month times 4 months). In FY 2019-20, 66% of Class H felony offenders received suspended sentences. The average length of probation imposed for this offense class was 26 months. For every additional offender receiving a non-active sentence increased from a Class H to a Class G, the average cost to CCS would be \$170 (\$170 per month times 1 month). In FY 2019-20, 85% of Class I felony offenders received suspended sentences. The average length of probation imposed for this offense class was 22 months. For every additional offender receiving a non-active sentence increased from a Class I to a Class H, the average cost to CCS would be \$680 (\$170 per month times 4 months).

TECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS

N/A.

DATA SOURCES

Department of Public Safety; Administrative Office of the Courts; North Carolina Sentencing and Policy Advisory Commission; Office of Indigent Defense Services.

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL NOTE - PURPOSE AND LIMITATIONS

This document is an official fiscal analysis prepared pursuant to Chapter 120 of the General Statutes and rules adopted by the Senate and House of Representatives. The estimates in this analysis are based on the data, assumptions, and methodology described in the Fiscal Analysis section of this document. This document only addresses sections of the bill that have projected direct fiscal impacts on State or local governments and does not address sections that have no projected fiscal impacts.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Questions on this analysis should be directed to the Fiscal Research Division at (919) 733-4910.

ESTIMATE PREPARED BY

William Childs

ESTIMATE APPROVED BY

Mark Trogdon, Director of Research Fiscal Research Division May 6, 2021

Signed copy located in the NCGA Principal Clerk's Offices

